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About CPPP:

CPPP was born from faith and a vision of social justice in 1985 when a Congregation of Benedictine Sisters in Boerne, Texas, founded the center to improve health care access for the poor.
Today’s Topics:

ACA repeal: What is at stake for Texas,
Possible mechanisms & timelines for repeal, and
Considerations for ACA replacement plans

Medicaid Block Grant:
Medicaid fundamentals;
Interactions with state budget issues;
Several angles on how fed law block granting Medicaid would change, potentially harm Texas

What to Take Away:

ACA repeal and conversion of Medicaid to a Block Grant could reverse all recent Texas progress in reducing the uninsured. Specific outcomes:

- Human suffering and financial harm of the uninsured, inadequately insured
- Damage to Texas health providers, with a hard blow to hospitals in particular
- All recent/current Congressional BG proposals include DEEP Medicaid funding cuts.
- Cost shifts will be to Texas, and in turn to county government, local taxpayers
Implications for Texas Legislature:

Top priority:

Legislators more fully understand the expected impact of ACA repeal/replace, as well as Medicaid Block Grants or Per Capita Caps--past the talking points. Lawmakers and staff engage with our Congressional delegation to protect interests of Texans.

Other:

• Federal changes may require state action/decisions on either ACA replacement or Medicaid funding caps

• Texas may want to replace some repealed ACA consumer protections (though state action reaches only 1/3 of privately insured Texans)

• Directive on Medicaid, contingent on congressional action? 2011 law (SB 7) may already authorize state to pursue a Medicaid Block Grant

• Next extension of Texas Medicaid 1115 waiver could provide vehicle for coverage of poor adults: a silver lining, but with plenty of challenges
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ACA Repeal

HOW CURRENT PLANS FOR AN ACA REPEAL WILL AFFECT TEXANS
ACA’s Historic Reduction in Uninsured

1.1 million fewer uninsured

5 percentage point drop in uninsured rate

Pre-ACA, Texas never had a one-year improvement of even a single percentage point

Center for Public Policy Priorities. 2015. “Census Data Reveal New Facts On Health Insurance,” Austin, TX
Path for Partial ACA Repeal

A full repeal would need enough support (60 votes) to overcome a Senate filibuster. Congress is instead planning a partial repeal using “budget reconciliation.”

- Requires only 51 votes in the Senate
- Can only change existing law that affects taxes or spending
Possible Paths Forward in Congress

Repeal and Delay

• Pass partial repeal through reconciliation with an effective date for some changes 2-4 years in the future
• Pass replacement plan(s) through normal legislative process (needs 60 Senate votes)
• Allows an earlier vote on repeal
• Likely to cause immediate loss of coverage. Funding needed for replacement at risk.

Repeal with Simultaneous Replacement

• Will require 60 Senate votes, at least for some parts
• Will extend horizon for passage, as no consensus on a replacement plan
The ACA and Reconciliation

No bill language is available today. Congress passed, and Pres. Obama vetoed, a reconciliation bill to repeal the ACA in 2015, which serves as a possible blueprint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Can be repealed in reconciliation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Subsidies for the Health Insurance Marketplace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Medicaid expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Penalty for individual mandate and employer mandate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Taxes that fund the ACA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Can’t be repealed in reconciliation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ban on denials/price hikes for pre-existing conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comprehensive benefits for small employer and direct purchase plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preventive care with no copays</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Road to Repeal (via reconciliation)

- **Jan 11**: Senate Budget Resolution Vote
- **Jan 13**: House Budget Resolution Vote
- **Starting Jan 30**: House Committees Craft Bill
- **Goal by early March**: Senate Repeal Bill Vote
- **Senate Floor Debate**: Senate Committee (likely to be skipped)
- **Conference Committee/House Vote**: Senate Repeal Bill Vote
- **Repeal Bill Signed into Law**: Jan 11, Goal by early March

*Several unknowns; Subject to change*
Harm from Repeal and Delay
Destabilized Individual Market

Insurers must sell to everyone, can’t charge more if sick

+ Individual mandate

- Marketplace subsidies

Younger, healthier people drop coverage

“Death Spiral”

Covered “pool” becomes sicker on average

Insurers raise rates

Destabilize individual insurance market. Possible market collapse before replacement.

Affects 1.8 million Texans (in and out of Marketplace)

Affects 1.8 million Texans (in and out of Marketplace)
**Harm from Repeal and Delay**

Starting in 2017, unpredictable costs/enrollment and general uncertainty cause some insurers to leave the individual market. In 2018 and beyond, more insurers exit and remaining ones raise rates.

**Individually Market Premiums Climb**
(Percent increase)

- 2018: 20-25%
- 2020: 50%
- 2026: 100%

**Coverage Unavailable**
(% of Americans who live in an area with no individual plans for sale)

- 2018: 10%
- 2020: 50%
- 2026: 75%

**Uninsured Grows**
(National increase)

- 2017: 4.3 million
- 2018: 18 million
- 2020: 27 million
- 2026: 32 million

Sources: Congressional Budget Office and Urban Institute

2.6 million Texans newly uninsured in 2019
The Executive Order and Executive Actions

• Executive order from January 20: within the law, dismantle provisions of the ACA that impose a cost on state or individuals

• No immediate change, other than possibly introducing more uncertainty for insurers

• Changes unlikely before heads of HHS/CMS, Treasury/IRS, and Labor are in place

• Any changes to regulations must still comply with rulemaking process, notice, and timeline requirements and adhere to statute

• Possible changes down the road:
  ◦ More hardship exemptions to individual mandate (in guidance)
  ◦ Less or no enforcement of individual mandate

“death spiral” concerns
ACA: beyond the individual market

- The ACA includes important protections in almost all types of insurance
- Some could be at risk through changes to rules/guidance
- All need to be considered when evaluating ACA replacement plans

27% of Non-elderly Texans Have a Pre-existing Condition

Many are protected today because they have job-based coverage, Medicaid, or Medicare.

But, if they lose this coverage, they will be at risk of being denied coverage in the individual market.
ACA protections for job-based insurance
(and individual market insurance)

✔ No copays for preventive care
✔ No annual or lifetime limits: won’t “run out” of coverage if you get seriously ill
✔ Annual cap on out-of-pocket costs: protections from medical bankruptcy
✔ Young adults can stay on a parent’s plan until age 26
✔ No waiting periods before insurance covers your pre-existing condition
✔ Right to independent, external review if insurer denies your care
✔ No skimpy plans that don’t even cover hospitalization
ACA protections for small employer insurance (and individual market insurance)

On top of other protections in the ACA for job-based coverage, small businesses and their employees stand to lose:

✓ A guarantee of decent coverage: essential health benefits and mental health parity
✓ Protections against wildly variable and discriminatory rates
✓ Review of rate increases
ACA protections for Medicare

- No copays for preventive care (3.6 million Texans)
- Medicare more financially secure: the ACA extended the solvency of the Medicare Hospital Insurance trust fund by 11 years
- Help with costs for prescription drugs: prescription drug “donut hole” closed
ACA innovations for Medicaid even w/o Medicaid expansion

✔ Medicaid for Former Foster Youth to age 26;
✔ Community First Choice (and enhanced match)
✔ CHIP mega-enhanced match
✔ Revamped Medicaid eligibility to be more consistent across states. *What will repeal do to that?*
  ◦ Ended Medicaid asset tests/reporting, face to face interviews and complex income deduction requirements for poverty-based Medicaid (i.e., not MEPD)
  ◦ “No Wrong Door” - Medicaid-CHIP and Marketplace applications automatically sent to the right home
  ◦ Less paper: states use available third-party data for eligibility verification (at enroll and renewal);
  ◦ “Reasonable Compatibility” requires you not be denied for inconsistent info, as long as available data still supports eligibility.
  ◦ Allowed Texas to pay for Modernized eligibility process and conversion to tax-return based rules: 90% fed match for development, 75% for operations & maintenance
Common ideas in ACA replacement plans

- Non-continuous coverage penalty
- High risk pools
- Selling insurance across state lines
- Promoting health savings accounts
- Some form of tax credit/subsidy (generally less than ACA, based on age)
- Punting to the states
Drive-By: Texas Medicaid Primer
Medicaid and the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) provide health insurance coverage to certain low-income individuals, with the costs shared between the state and the federal government.

- Medicaid is an entitlement program; anyone who meets eligibility requirements must be provided coverage.
- CHIP is not a federal entitlement, but in Texas all eligible children are provided services.
Texas Medicaid/CHIP: Mostly Children

Plus Serious Disability, Poor Seniors, Pregnant Women

Total Enrolled:
(as of September 2016)

4.5 million Texans

Of these,

3.4 million are children
(~45% of Texas kids)

Source: Center for Public Policy Priorities, HHSC data.

September 2016, HHSC data
Why 3 million children, only 150,000 Parents?
Income Caps for Texas Medicaid and CHIP, 2016

Note: Annual income cap for a family of 3, except individual incomes shown for SSI and Long Term Care

Source: Center for Public Policy Priorities.
Nearly half of Texas Children Were Enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP in March 2014,

From a high of 77% to a low of 10%
Medicaid Cost Growth Driven by Enrollment, Not Per-person Costs

Average Annual Growth Rate, 2000-2009
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HHS as a share of Texas’ State-Dollar Spending = 30.4%

Only with federal funds GAINED does HHS % exceed K-12 Public Education

FIGURE 3
FUNDING BY ARTICLE, GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS

(IN MILLIONS)

- Article I
  General Government
  $2,918.8
  2.8%

- Article II
  Health and Human Services
  $32,201.0
  30.4%

- Article III
  Agencies of Education
  $56,001.6
  52.8%

- Article IV
  The Judiciary
  $481.6
  0.5%

- Article V
  Public Safety and Criminal Justice
  $11,409.0
  10.8%

- Article VI
  Natural Resources
  $832.1
  0.8%

- Article VII
  Business and Economic Development
  $1,168.4
  1.1%

- Article VIII
  Regulatory
  $332.9
  0.3%

- Article IX
  The Legislature
  $276.2
  0.3%

- Article X
  The Legislature
  $385.9
  0.4%

TOTAL: $106,007.5

SOURCE: Legislative Budget Board.
2016-17 State Budget

Only with federal funds GAINED does HHS % exceed K-12 Public Education

Source: Texas Legislative Budget Board, February 2016
National Spending for Health Care, 2014

Total health care spending amounted to $2.9 trillion in calendar year 2014, about half of which was private spending. The federal government subsidizes a substantial part of that private spending, primarily through the tax exclusion for employment-based health insurance.

Total Health Care Spending: $2.9 Trillion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>$619 Billion</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid and CHIP</td>
<td>$509 Billion</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Government Spending</td>
<td>$243 Billion</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments by Private Health Insurers</td>
<td>$991 Billion</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumers’ Out-of-Pocket Spending</td>
<td>$330 Billion</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$186 Billion</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Spending: $1.4 Trillion, or 48 Percent

Private Spending: $1.5 Trillion, or 52 Percent

Source: Congressional Budget Office, using data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

CHIP = Children’s Health Insurance Program.

a. Refers to gross spending for Medicare, which does not account for offsetting receipts that are credited to the program. Those offsetting receipts are mostly premium payments made by beneficiaries to the government.

b. Includes federal and state spending.
Medicaid Provider Payments

Medicare physician payments, though imperfect, are annually adjusted.

**Texas** Medicaid physician payments have not had annual updates for over 20 years
- Annual updates frozen in 1993 and never resumed

Medicare Payment Advisory Commission estimates physician practice costs grow an average of 3% annually as a result of changes in practice expenses, such as salaries, rent, and other overhead costs.

Hospital payments are more complex, but like physician rates they stopped getting regular updates in the 1990s and pay far less than actual costs (average 55% for inpatient, 72% for outpatient).

*Allowing provider rates to fall further and further behind actual costs of care has been a budget-balancing tool, which takes a toll on access to care.*
HHSC cannot ask for Medicaid inflation/cost increases in their budget request. Enrollment growth costs are allowed.

HHSC must request funds to cover Medicaid inflation/cost increases via Exceptional Items; E.I. #1 is $1.75 billion GR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>House</th>
<th>Senate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Includes $1.2 billion expected Medicaid <strong>Supplemental</strong> for 2016-2017 AND includes in 2017-2018 base</td>
<td>Missing from SB 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes the ~$700 million GR in base needed for Medicaid enrollment growth</td>
<td>Missing from SB 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HHSC E.I.#1 $1.75 billion for Current Services (~$1.5B Medicaid-CHIP) not in either filed bill. LTSS needs = additional $300 million GR</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House proposes additional $100 million GR in Medicaid reductions</td>
<td>Senate proposes additional $1 billion GR in cuts, not detailed (K-12 exempt)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Threat Assessment: Medicaid Block Grant and Per Capita Caps
Current Medicaid law

- **States** are entitled to federal match for all costs despite enrollment or price spikes
- **Individuals** are entitled to be covered under the state’s official eligibility standards and benefits, without caps or wait list

Block Grant

- Disconnects level of funding from the number of Medicaid beneficiaries and the cost of providing care.
- Federal contribution grows only according to a preset formula,
  - no matter how large the population in need becomes, or
  - how much a state actually must spend on health care, long term care for Medicaid recipients.

For states to manage Medicaid programs with a fixed amount of federal funding, the entitlement to coverage would need to be eliminated, and federal rules regarding eligibility, coverage, and payment would need to be substantially restructured or repealed.

Block Grant basics from Commonwealth Fund: http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2016/nov/medicaid-block-grants
Texas trades current state-budget uncertainty (Medicaid enrollment growth + some cost growth) for annual uncertainty over whether Congress will fully fund the Medicaid Block Grant (or reduce the Per Capita Cap allocation), as these non-entitlement redesigns will be subject to annual appropriations.

Congress Established Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid as Entitlements deliberately to avoid Annual Funding Fights, and provide stability.
Recent Congressional Medicaid block grant proposals are designed to reduce federal Medicaid spending

(Texas’ Medicaid block grant amount based on current or historical spending) \( \times \) (increased annually @ much lower % than currently projected annual federal Medicaid spending growth) = federal funding cuts that grow progressively larger each year.

Chairman Price’s budget plan for FY 2017 would have cut federal Medicaid funding by $1 trillion—or nearly 25%—over 10 years, compared to current law (this is without including the additional funding cuts from repealing the ACA’s Medicaid expansion, which increases the cut to 33% below the baseline projection)

- And, the size of the cuts would have kept growing after 2026.

- Cuts of 20% by year #2 (increasing to 25%) will cut deeply into Texas enrollees’ needs (and leave no room to cover our Texans with disabilities on wait lists and working poor uninsured, or to meet other new needs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicaid Cuts Would Grow Over Time Under House Budget Committee Block Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent cut in federal Medicaid funds, relative to current law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: CBPP analysis using Jan. 2016 Congressional Budget Office Medicaid baseline and House Budget Committee documents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legislature’s Medicaid Therapy rate cuts passed 2015 were **$171 million All Funds** ($75 million GR) per year, just 0.4% (less than one-half of one percent) of total All Funds Texas Medicaid funding for 2016.

Compare: a 20% loss of federal Medicaid funding (by second year of BG) would be over **$4.8 BILLION**. Imagine the cuts the Texas Legislature will have to decide on, the harm done, and the public outcry.
What Changes for Texans Under a Medicaid Block Grant?

**BENEFITS:**
- Today kids can’t be denied medically necessary care by Medicaid (no arbitrary limits, either).
- Adults are less protected under current law, but even these minimum benefits likely eliminated under BG.

**AFFORDABILITY:**
- Kids are exempt from co-payments, premiums, denial of care for non-payment in Medicaid TODAY.
- Adults today have upper limits on cost-sharing, plus no denial of care for non-payment in Medicaid below poverty (use of premiums, denial of care ONLY allowed in 1115 waivers). These limits likely eliminated under BG.

**WHO IS COVERED:**
- Current federal Medicaid law requires all kids to 138% FPL to get Medicaid (kids 138-206% FPL can get CHIP). Seniors and individuals with disabilities 75% FPL and lower incomes and pregnant women to 203% FPL covered.
- Eliminating entitlement for state and individuals likely; states can decide who to cover, have waiting lists.
- NO ability to improve coverage of Texans with disabilities on current wait lists for Long Term Services and Supports under Medicaid “waivers”
What Changes for Texans Under a Medicaid Block Grant?

**RED TAPE:**

- Current federal Medicaid law prevents states from cutting back on kid’s coverage (income thresholds) or otherwise creating eligibility barriers.
- TODAY Medicaid Managed Care plans are subject to many consumer protections: network adequacy, due process, and more.

**PROVIDER CHOICE AND PAYMENT:**

- Freedom of Choice of Family Planning providers, Cost-based pay for Community Health Centers (FQHCs)

*With no federal “floor” in place, these and many other Medicaid standards may be eliminated.*
Could lock in Texas’ low provider rates, and lack of coverage for most adults.

- Also.....Will 31 states get their Medicaid expansion funds? Will Texas? Will our 1115 waiver funds be part of our BG? Will Texas be allowed to use Local Funds (IGT)?

Per capita cap adds back funding for enrollment growth, but like Texas legislature, Congress may not fund inflation/price increases

Whether BG or PCC, a survivable financing system needs to respond to: Population Growth; Increases in poverty/economic downturns; Epidemics/public health crises; Medical breakthroughs:

- Otherwise it is simply a recipe for more uninsured, and cost-shift to Texas counties

*Congress’ track record on maintaining the buying power of Block Grants is very poor.*
Medicaid Coverage of the Nonelderly with Incomes Below 200 Percent FPL

- 30%–39% (13 states)
- 40%–49% (24 states)
- 50%–59% (12 states)
- 60%+ (1 state + DC)

Medicaid Spending per Enrollee

- <$5,000 (11 states)
- $5,000–$6,499 (25 states)
- $6,500–$7,999 (8 states)
- $8,000+ (6 states + DC)

Will Congress use a Medicaid Block Grant to shift costs to the state, just like the state shifts costs to county/city governments?

(CHIP BG is exception, NOT rule)

http://www.cbpp.org/research/federal-budget/funding-for-housing-health-and-social-services-block-grants-has-fallen

Per Capita Caps for Medicaid

PCC removes ONE harmful characteristic of the BG: it allows funding for enrollment growth, but in all recent proposals only at rate of General Inflation.

Like BG, PCC could be built many different ways:

- Federal government could set a single per-enrollee cap that applies to all Medicaid recipients, including children, adults, the elderly, and persons with disabilities; OR
- Set different caps for each group; OR
- Exempt certain groups from the cap.
- Caps could apply to all Medicaid services or only certain services, with others such as prescription drugs being exempt.
- Annual growth allowed in the caps is also an open question.

PCC could be less damaging than a Block Grant (depending on choices above) but same fundamental trade-off remains: To save money at the federal level, the caps must keep spending below projected levels—in effect shifting the burden to states, much the same as block grants do.

Implications for Texas Legislature:

Top priority:

Legislators more fully understand the expected impact of ACA repeal/replace, as well as Medicaid Block Grants or Per Capita Caps—past the talking points. Lawmakers and staff engage with our Congressional delegation to protect interests of Texans.

Other:

• Federal changes may require state action/decisions on either ACA replacement or Medicaid funding caps

• Texas may want to replace some repeals ACA consumer protections (though state action reaches only 1/3 of privately insured Texans)

• Directive on Medicaid, contingent on congressional action? 2011 law (SB 7) already likely authorizes state to pursue a Medicaid Block Grant

• Next extension of Texas Medicaid 1115 waiver could provide vehicle for coverage of poor adults: a silver lining, but with plenty of challenges (cloud?)
What to Take Away

ACA repeal and conversion of Medicaid to a Block Grant could reverse all recent Texas progress in reducing the uninsured. Specific outcomes:

- Human suffering and financial harm of the uninsured, inadequately insured
- Damage to Texas health providers, with a hard blow to hospitals in particular
- All recent/current Congressional BG proposals include DEEP Medicaid funding cuts.
- Cost shifts will be to Texas, and in turn to county government, local taxpayers
○ Learn

Visit CPPP.org and sign up for email alerts

○ Connect

Follow @CPPP_TX on Twitter
Like us on Facebook.com/BetterTexas

○ Support

Make a donation to support CPPP’s work
We believe in a Texas that offers everyone the chance to compete and succeed in life.

We envision a Texas where everyone is healthy, well-educated, and financially secure.
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